University of the sea	ON OF AGRICUL RCH & EXTEN sity of Arkansas Syst	ISION A	arkansas Ro	ow Crops		
	AR Crops Home	UAEX Home	Publications	Events	Contact Us	Employment
FIND IT HERE	« Ric	The Con	on Weekly Update for Ju	une 5, 2020 Soybear	n Growth Stage Guide A	vailable Now »
TWITTER UPDATE	05	Author: Tommy Butts, Weed Scientist By: Tommy Butts, Extension Weed Scientist				
Tweets by @ARCrops	JUN					
SUBSCRIBE	2020					
Subscribe to Post Updates from Arkansas Row Crops		Adjuvants perform options available. fewer droplets tha water quality by ty	n numerous roles with Some adjuvants work t bounce and shatter ving up cations associ	in a spray applicatio by reducing surfac (spreaders/stickers; ated with hard water	n and there are a wide e tension of the spray surfactants) and some (water conditioners).	 variety of different solution resulting in help improve spray Others break down the

used for every application.

Your email:

🔊 AGNEWS

California – Almonds – First Hull Splits Just Around The Corner – AgFax

June 14, 2020

California Almonds: Lower Prices Is The Key To Demand Growth

June 14, 2020

Almond Market: It's All About Confidence Now

June 13, 2020

AgFax Weekend: Herbicide Court Fight Next?

June 13, 2020

Weekly Cotton Market Review – USDA

June 12, 2020

Global Markets: Cotton – India Holds Record Stocks Despite Higher Consumption

June 12, 2020

QUICK LINKS

Hot Publications

Grain Sorghum Production Handbook

-

Rice Production Handbook

Corn Production Handbook

Soybean Production Handbook

MP154 – Plant Disease Control

MP 144 – Insecticide Recommendations

MP 44 – Weed & Brush Control

Suggested Links

AgFax



leaf cuticle to enhance absorption (oils) and some increase droplet size to reduce drift potential (drift reduction adjuvants). As a result, there are no "one size, fits all" type adjuvants that can be or should be

Research results have shown that a herbicide solution with methylated seed oil (MSO) had the greatest retention on a plant surface compared with other tested adjuvants (Figure 1) (Creech 2015). Nonionic surfactant (NIS), silicone, and crop oil concentrate (COC) adjuvants also increased retention of a

observed when MSO, NIS, silicone, and COC adjuvants are used, but it can also explain the added crop

injury potential especially with certain MSO products. Recommendations on herbicide labels should be

followed to maximize the effectiveness of the herbicide while not substantially increasing crop injury.

herbicide solution on a plant surface compared to a drift reduction adjuvant (DRA) and no adjuvant; however, it was to a lesser extent than the MSO. This may help explain increased weed control

Figure 1. Deposition (green bars) and droplet size (black squares) of herbicide spray solution on a plant surface as influenced by methylated seed oil (MSO), nonionic surfactant (NIS), silicone adjuvant, crop oil concentrate (COC), drift reduction adjuvant (DRA), or no adjuvant. Courtesy of the Pesticide Application Technology Laboratory, North Platte, NE.

Other research has shown the effect of adding a water conditioning adjuvant like AMS to herbicide solutions for increased weed control, especially in hard water environments. In a greenhouse study conducted recently here in Arkansas (Figure 2), Roundup PowerMax alone at 11 fl oz/acre provided approximately 65% control and Roundup PowerMax plus added NIS (0.25% v/v) provided approximately 75% control of a barnyardgrass population. When AMS (2 lb/acre) was used, nearly 100% control was

Delta Farm Press
UofA Extension News
Arkansas Corn & Grain Sorghum Board

Arkansas Rice Research & Promotion Board

Arkansas Soybean Promotion Board

Arkansas Wheat Promotion Board

achieved with the same rate of Roundup PowerMax.



Figure 2. Control of barnyardgrass in the greenhouse using a half rate (11 fl oz/acre) of Roundup PowerMax plus no adjuvant, AMS, and NIS.

Adjuvant labels should also be carefully read prior to use to identify the actual type of adjuvant it is and to follow the manufacturer recommended rates. I have received reports of high surfactant oil products (HSOC) such as Superb HC being recommended at standard COC rates (1% v/v). This will lead to much greater crop injury potential. An HSOC and COC are not equivalent adjuvant types as an HSOC contains a much greater load of surfactant. The recommended rate for an HSOC like Superb HC is half a normal COC rate (0.5% v/v).

Anytime a new herbicide solution or adjuvant combination is to be used, consultants or applicators should perform a jar test to test for compatibility and applicators should carefully observe the application for drift potential and efficacy. Figure 3 and Figure 4 illustrate a couple of examples of severe incompatibility when adjuvant or micronutrient products were added to herbicide solutions. In Figure 3, the addition of a DRA caused some WDG formulated herbicides to precipitate out and never fully go into solution. Not only could this lead to plugged sprayer equipment, a majority of the herbicide activity has been lost. In Figure 4, when the micronutrient boron was added to herbicide solutions A (left panel), you can see a drastic change in the chemical solution. When those spray solutions were evaluated for droplet size, the solution with boron had a decreased droplet size and almost four percentage points more driftable fines when sprayed through a TTI nozzle. In contrast, when boron was added to herbicide B (right panel), no observable effect to the herbicide solution occurred and droplet size remained relatively unchanged.



Figure 3. Incompatibility between some WDG formulated herbicides and drift reduction adjuvants.



Figure 4. The addition of micronutrients, in this instance boron, can affect herbicide spray solutions. When boron was added to herbicide A (left), the color changed, average droplet size decreased, and % driftable fines increased. In contrast, when boron was added to herbicide B (right), the solution remained relatively unchanged.

Care should be taken to read each herbicide label and follow the recommendations provided on

adjuvant selection. If tank-mixing herbicides, the first option would be to select an adjuvant that is recommended on both labels. If no overlap of recommended adjuvants occurs, typically a NIS or COC are the most viable options to use to increase activity while limiting crop response. Use best judgement as well with certain herbicides on whether an additional adjuvant is even needed. Often, a tank-mixture with heavy surfactant loaded products (such as Roundup PowerMax II) does not require the addition of another adjuvant.

If any questions arise or if I can help at all, please don't hesitate to get a hold of me at tbutts@uaex.edu or (501) 804-7314. Good luck out there.

References:

Creech CF (2015) Herbicide Application Technology Impacts on Herbicide Spray Characteristics and Performance. Lincoln, NE: University of Nebraska-Lincoln. 205 p



« Rice Research Verification Weekly Update for June 5, 2020

Soybean Growth Stage Guide Available Now »

© 2020 University of Arkansas Division of Agriculture All rights reserved. Last Date Modified Jun-14-2020 <u>Webmaster</u> University of Arkansas • Division of Agriculture Cooperative Extension Service 2301 South University Avenue Little Rock, Arkansas 72204 • USA Phone (501) 671-2000

<u>Mission</u> • <u>Disclaimer</u> • <u>EEO</u> • <u>Privacy</u> • <u>FOI</u>