## Crop Coefficients Developed at Bushland, Texas for Corn, Wheat, Sorghum, Soybean, Cotton, and Alfalfa

T.A. Howell<sup>1</sup>, S.R. Evett<sup>2</sup>, J.A. Tolk<sup>3</sup>, K.S. Copeland<sup>4</sup>, D.A. Dusek<sup>5</sup>, and P.D. Colaizzi<sup>6</sup> \*

<sup>1</sup>Research Leader, PH (806) 356-5746; FAX (806) 356-5750; email: <u>tahwell@cprl.ars.usda.gov</u>
<sup>2</sup>Soil Scientist, PH (806) 356-5775; FAX (806) 356-5750; email: <u>srevett@cprl.ars.usda.gov</u>
<sup>3</sup>Plant Physiologist, PH (806) 356-5736; FAX (806) 356-5750; email: <u>jtolk@cprl.ars.usda.gov</u>
<sup>4</sup>Soil Scientist, PH (806) 356-5735; FAX (806) 356-5750; email: <u>kcopeland@cprl.ars.usda.gov</u>
<sup>5</sup>Agron. (Collaborator), PH (806) 356-5747; FAX (806) 356-5750; email: <u>ddusek@cprl.ars.usda.gov</u>
<sup>6</sup>Agricultural Engineer, PH (806) 356-5763; FAX (806) 356-5750; email: <u>pcolaizzi@cprl.ars.usda.gov</u>
\*USDA-ARS, Conservation & Production Research Laboratory, P.O. Drawer 10, Bushland, TX 79012-0010.

## Abstract

Crop coefficients derived from evapotranspiration measured with large, precise weighing lysimeters at Bushland, TX are presented for the major regional irrigated crops – corn, wheat, sorghum, soybean, cotton, and alfalfa. The ASCE/EWRI standardized reference evapotranspiration equation for daily weather data for short ( $ET_{os}$ , grass) and tall ( $ET_{rs}$ , alfalfa) crops was used as the base. Crop coefficients for both  $ET_{os}$  and  $ET_{rs}$  were summarized. They were generally in agreement with  $K_{cb}$  values from Davis, CA; Kimberly, ID; and from FAO-56.

#### Introduction

Crop coefficients are widely used to estimate crop water use and to schedule irrigations. They are defined as

$$K_c = \frac{ET_c}{ET_*}$$
[1]

where  $ET_c$  is the crop water use usually expressed in mm d<sup>-1</sup> and  $ET_*$  represents a reference crop water use expressed in the same units (Doorenbos and Pruitt, 1977; Jensen et al., 1990; Allen et al., 1998). Wright (1982) expressed the  $K_c$  as a basal crop

coefficient ( $K_{cb}$ ) for a dry soil surface but with a soil profile adequately irrigated or supplied with water to fully meet the crop water uptake from the soil. Essentially, the  $K_{cb}$  is defined as follows:

$$K_{cb} = \left(\frac{K_c - K_s}{K_a}\right)$$
[2]

where  $K_s$  is the adjustment for increased evaporation from a wet soil surface (dimensionless) and  $K_a$  is the "water stress" or evaporation (*ET*) reduction fraction dependent on soil water depletion (Jensen et al., 1990). For a crop in a "basal condition",  $K_s$  is defined as 0 and  $K_a$  is defined as 1.0. The "basal crop *ET*" has been proposed as basically a characterization of the crop transpiration (Allen et al., 1998).

The reference crop  $ET(ET_*)$  has been standardized for grass or alfalfa (Jensen et al., 1990); for a hypothetical short crop (Allen et al., 1998); and more recently developed for both a short crop  $(ET_{os})$  or a taller crop  $(ET_{rs})$  (Allen et al., 2005) for both daily or hourly time periods. One central intent of the ASCE/EWRI standardized ET equations (Allen et al., 2005) is to utilize similar reproducible  $ET_*$  values with routine weather data. These standardized equations for  $ET_{os}$  and  $ET_{rs}$  are based on the Penman-Monteith equation from ASCE Manual 70 (Jensen et al., 1990); and they build upon the simplifications from FAO-56 (Allen et al., 1998).

The purpose of this paper is to present and briefly discuss and describe the  $K_c$  values measured at Bushland, TX with precision weighing lysimeters (Marek et al., 1988; Howell et al., 1995a) since 1988. The crops were produced in large fields that were sprinkler irrigated frequently to maintain adequate soil water for the "well-watered" crop *ET*.

## Procedures

These studies were conducted at the USDA-ARS Laboratory at Bushland, TX ( $35^{\circ}$  11' N lat.; 102° 06' W long.; 1,170 m elev. above MSL). Crop *ET* was measured with one or two weighing lysimeters (Marek et al., 1988) each located in the center of 4.4-ha 210 m E-W by 210 m N-S fields (four fields arranged in a square pattern). The soil at this site is classified as Pullman clay loam (fine, mixed, superactive thermic Torrertic Paleustoll) (Unger and Pringle, 1981; Taylor et al., 1963) which is described as slowly permeable because of a dense B22 horizon about 0.3 to 0.5 m below the surface. The plant available water holding capacity within the top 2.0 m of the profile is approximately 240 mm (Tolk and Howell, 2001) ~200 mm to 1.5-m) depth). A calcareous layer at about the 1.4 m depth limits significant rooting and water extraction below this depth. Variations of this soil series are common to more than 1.2 million ha of land in this region and about 1/3 of the sprinkler-irrigated area in the Texas High Plains (Musick et al., 1988). Weighing lysimeters offer one of the most accurate means to measure *ET* (Hatfield, 1990). Predominate wind direction is SW to SSW, and the unobstructed fetch (fallow fields or dryland cropped areas) in this

direction exceeds 1 km. The field slope is less than 0.3 percent. More descriptive information is provided in Howell et al. (1995b), Howell et al. (1997), Howell et al. (2004), and Evett et al. (2000).

Lysimeter mass was determined using a Campbell Scientific<sup>1</sup> CR-7X data logger to measure and record the lysimeter load cell (Interface SM-50) signal at 0.5-Hz (2 s) frequency. The load cell signal was averaged for 5 min and composited to 30-min means (reported on the mid point of the 30 min, i.e. data were averaged from 0-30 minutes and reported at 15 min). The lysimeter mass resolution was 0.01 mm, and its accuracy exceeded 0.05 mm (Howell et al., 1995a). Daily ET was determined as the difference between lysimeter mass losses (from evaporation and transpiration) and lysimeter mass gains (from irrigation, precipitation, or dew) divided by the lysimeter area (9 m<sup>2</sup>). A pump regulated to -10 kPa provided vacuum drainage, and the drainage effluent was held in two tanks suspended from the lysimeter (their mass was part of the total lysimeter mass) and independently weighed by load cells (drainage rate data are not reported here). ET for each 24-h period was divided by 1.02 to adjust the lysimeter area to the mid point between the two walls (10 mm air gap; 9.5 mm wall thickness; 9.18-m<sup>2</sup> area instead of 9.00-m<sup>2</sup> area). This correction would be applicable for full-cover crops, but it would not be necessary for bare soil conditions. Nevertheless, it was applied to all data uniformly. Lysimeter data were screened to remove only days with snow or maintenance. Lysimeter ET data included days with irrigations and rainfall although the lysimeter water balance on these days may be less precise.

Solar irradiance, wind speed, air temperature, dew point temperature, relative humidity, precipitation, and barometric pressure were measured at an adjacent weather station (Howell et al., 1995b) placed over an irrigated grass surface (cool-season lawn mixture containing bluegrass, perennial rye-grass, etc.). Reference *ET* ( $ET_{os}$  and  $ET_{rs}$ ) was computed with the ASCE/EWRI standardized equations (Allen et al., 2005) using REF-ET<sup>©</sup> v2 (Allen, 2001). Crop coefficients were computed by equation [1], and presented and discussed qualitatively, here, only on a time scale. They will be reported in more detail with appropriate statistical analyses in future papers in both time and growing degree formats.

## **Bushland Crop Coefficients**

Fully irrigated crops were produced for near maximum commercial yields with prevailing agronomic practices. Table 1 summarizes the crops produced, the specific variety or hybrid used, and the year of production. It also presents information on the maximum leaf area index (*LAI*), crop height, and when available the crop yields (when available) from the lysimeter(s) themselves and the surrounding field(s). The lysimeter crop was maintained nearly identical to the surrounding field in appearance, soil water status, and growth and development.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The mention of trade or manufacturer names is made for information only and does not imply an endorsement, recommendation, or exclusion by USDA-Agricultural Research Service.

# <u>Corn</u>

The corn hybrids were all classified as full-season hybrids and adapted to this environment. The 1989 crop received substantial hail damage soon after emergence, but the growing point was still below ground at that time and it developed well

Table 1. Summary of Bushland irrigated weighing lysimeter crops.							
Crop	Year	Var./Hybrid	LAI <sub>max</sub>	Height <sub>max</sub>	Lys. Yield	Field Yield	Field Dry Matter
· · ·			$m^2 m^{-2}$	m	g m <sup>-2</sup>	g m <sup>-2</sup>	kg m <sup>-2</sup>
Alfalfa	1996	PIO <sup>1</sup> 5454	6.94	0.72	na	same	1650
	1997		4.54	0.73		as	1640
	1998		5.28	0.70		Field	2060
	1999		3.85	0.59		$DM^2$	1520
Corn	1989	PIO 3321	4.91	2.56	1216	1171	2098
	1990	PIO 3124	5.46	2.77	1061	941	na
	1994	PIO 3245	5.71	2.24	1322	1430	2638
Cotton	2000	PM 2145RR <sup>®</sup>	1.94	0.66	150.0	131.6	na
	2001	PM 2145RR <sup>®</sup>	4.01	0.96	111.9	102.1	na
	2002	PM 2145RR <sup>®</sup>	3.97	1.03	120.5	60.1	na
Grain	1988	DK 41Y	4.45	1.05	726.0	754.0	1545
Sorg.	1993	DK 56	5.30	1.48	908.7	982.0	1980
	2005	DK 39Y	2.35	1.00	711.5	678.0	1357
Soybean	1995	PIO 9461	5.22	0.88	407.7	460.5	994
-	2003	PIO 94B73RR®	4.21	0.73	474.3	496.7	874
	2004	PIO 94B73RR®	5.40	1.05	315.2	424.5	873
Winter	1989-90	TAM 200	3.65	na	460.3	421.4	1316
Wheat	1991-92	TAM 107	7.07	1.12	325.7	688.9	2200
	1992-93	Mesa (AgriPro)	4.10	0.96	516.4	642.2	1848
<sup>1</sup> The mention of trade or manufacturer names is made for information only and does not							

<sup>1</sup> The mention of trade or manufacturer names is made for information only and does not imply an endorsement, recommendation, or exclusion by USDA-Agricultural Research Service. <sup>2</sup> Dry Matter.

although set back about 7-10 days from its typical development. The 1989 and 1990 seasons used two weighing lysimeters. The data were averaged together. The 1994 season used two lysimeters, but one was used to produce a short-season hybrid (Howell et al., 1998) (data not used herein) and only the full-season hybrid data are presented here. Figure 1 illustrates the Bushland corn  $K_c$  values for both the  $ET_o$  (short crop) and  $ET_r$  (taller crop) ASCE standardized references. The generalized FAO-56 crop calendar and typical  $K_{cb}$  values for corn (Allen et al., 1998) generally fit the median in these data. The  $K_c$  for the  $ET_r$  reference agreed relatively well with the

 $K_{cb}$  peak value near 1.0 from Wright (1982) for Kimberly, ID and the 1.17 peak  $K_{cb}$  for the  $ET_o$  reference from Pruitt for Davis, CA (Jensen et al., 1990).

#### Winter Wheat

The 1989-90 crop did not develop a significant fall growth and was subsequently slower in developing (Figure 2). More specific information is in Howell et al. (1995b). The lower initial  $K_{cb}$  (0.15) suggested from FAO-56 fit the 1989-90 fall and winter while the larger initial  $K_{cb}$  from FAO-56 (0.5) appeared to fit the 1991-92 and 1992-93 crops better during fall and winter. The FAO-56 crop calendar did not match the winter wheat development. The  $K_c$  for the  $ET_r$  reference was nearer to 0.95 than 1.0 for the peak  $K_{cb}$  from Wright (1982)



crop coefficients.



Figure 1. Bushland corn crop coefficients.

for Kimberly, ID and nearer to a peak  $K_{cb}$  of 1.3 for for the  $ET_o$  reference for barley from Pruitt for Davis, CA (Jensen et al., 1990). Interestingly, winter wheat has peak water use rates nearly rates as great as alfalfa or corn.

## <u>Grain Sorghum</u>

The sorghum hybrids were varied from a medium-full (DK 56) to a medium early (DK-41Y) to a short-season hybrid (DK 39Y). The FAO-56 crop calendar and  $K_{cb}$  values fit the full-season hybrid well in the 1993 season (Figure 3). The shorter maturity hybrids had lower peak  $K_c$  values. The peak  $K_c$  values for the full-season hybrid agreed with the peak of 1.08 from Davis, CA (Jensen et al., 1990) for the  $ET_o$  reference ET.

#### <u>Soybean</u>

The FAO-56 crop calendar and  $K_{cb}$  values fit the soybean variety (Group IV) rather well (Figure 4). The  $K_c$  for the  $ET_r$  reference was nearer a peak  $K_{cb}$  value of 0.85 in 2003 & 2004 closer in agreement with the peak value of 0.95 for  $K_{cb}$  for beans in Idaho from Wright (1982) and near to the 1.12 peak  $K_{cb}$  for the  $ET_o$  reference for beans in Davis, CA (Jensen et al., 1990). Neither source reported soybean  $K_{cb}$  data, but FAO-56 (Allen et al., 1998) reported  $K_{cb}$  data for soybean.



Figure 4. Bushland soybean crop coefficients.



#### **Cotton**

The FAO-56 "Texas" cotton crop calendar did not fit the Bushland  $K_c$  time pattern. The peak  $K_{cb}$  values (Figure 5), however, agreed well (Allen et al., 1998; Howell et al., 2004) with the modified crop calendar. The Bushland environment is marginal for the heat unit requirement for cotton. Despite this limitation, cotton production has spread into the Northern Texas High Plains and into southwestern and south central Kansas as an alternate crop for corn with a lower irrigation requirement.

## <u>Alfalfa</u>

The  $K_c$  values (Figure 6) for

alfalfa were near 1.0 for the  $ET_r$ reference equation in agreement with values from Wright (1982) at Kimberly, ID, but not constant at 1.0 throughout the year. Of course alfalfa  $K_c$  values are cyclic with cuttings and re-growth. In most seasons we obtained four hay cuttings, except in 1998 (a drought year) when we obtained five cuttings and the greatest season yield (2060 g  $m^{-2}$  dry). Evett et al. (2000) provides other discussions on the alfalfa ET. The peak  $ET_o/ET_r$  ratio exhibited by the  $K_c$  (ET<sub>o</sub>) graph illustrates the typical advective effects on crops at Bushland, TX.



Figure 5. Bushland cotton crop coefficients.

## Summary

This family of crop coefficients ( $K_c$ ) from Bushland, TX is largely in agreement with those from Davis, CA; Kimberly, ID; and from FAO-56. Differences can be attributed to varietal and climatic differences. They can permit accuracy in estimating crop water use and improved water resource utilization from the Ogallala aquifer through better irrigation scheduling.





## Acknowledgements:

These data were obtained through the dedicated and meticulous work of numerous technicians in the Soil and Water Research Unit at Bushland. Their tireless efforts were required to obtain these data, and we sincerely recognize their dedication.

# References

- Allen, R.G. (2001). "*REF-ET: Reference evapotranspiration calculation software for FAO and ASCE standardized equations, Version 2.0 for Windows.*" University of Idaho, Kimberly Research and Extension Center. 76 p.
- Allen, R.G., Periera, L.S., Raes, D., and Smith, M. (1998). "Crop evapotranspiration: Guidelines for computing crop water requirements." Irrig. and Drain. Paper No. 56. Rome, Italy: United Nations, Food and Agric. Org.
- Allen, R.G., Walter, I.A., Elliott, R.L., Howell, T.A., Itenfisu, D., Jensen, M.E., and Snyder, R.L. (eds.). 2005. "The ASCE standardized reference evapotranspiration equation." Am. Soc. Civil Engr., Reston, VA. 59 p. with supplemental appendices.
- Doorenbos, J., and Pruitt, W.O. (1977). "Crop water requirements." Irrig. and Drain. Paper No. 24. Rome, Italy: United Nations, Food and Agric. Org.
- Evett, S.R., Howell, T.A., Todd, R.W., Schneider, A.D., and Tolk, J.A. (2000).
  "Alfalfa reference ET measurement and prediction." Pp. 266-272. In: Robert G. Evans, Brian L. Benham, and Todd P. Trooien (eds.) Proceedings of the 4th Decennial National Irrigation Symposium, Nov. 14-16, Phoenix, AZ, Am. Soc. Agric. and Biol. Engr., St. Joseph, MI.
- Hatfield, J.L. (1990). "Methods of estimating evapotranspiration." pp. 435-474. In:B.A. Stewart and D.R. Nielsen (eds.) *Irrigation of Agricultural Crops*, Agron. Mono. No 30, Am. Soc. Agron., Madison, WI.
- Howell, T.A., Evett, S.R., Tolk, J.A., and Schneider, A.D. (2004).
  "Evapotranspiration of full-, deficit-irrigated, and dryland cotton on the Northern Texas High Plains." *J. Irrig. and Drain. Engr. (ASCE)* 130(4):277-285.
- Howell, T.A., Tolk, J.A., Schneider, A.D., and Evett, S.R. (1998)."Evapotranspiration, yield, and water use efficiency of corn hybrids differing in maturity." *Agron. J.* 90(1):3-9.
- Howell, T. A., Steiner, J.L., Schneider, A.D., Evett, S.R., and Tolk, J.A. (1997). "Seasonal and maximum daily evapotranspiration of irrigated winter wheat, sorghum, and corn - Southern High Plains." *Trans. ASAE* 40(3)623-634.
- Howell, T.A., Schneider, A.D., Dusek, D.A., Marek, T.H., and Steiner, J.L. (1995a). "Calibration and scale performance of Bushland weighing lysimeters." *Trans. ASAE* 38(4):1019-1024.
- Howell, T.A., Steiner, J.L., Schneider, A.D., and Evett, S.R. (1995b).
  "Evapotranspiration of irrigated winter wheat Southern High Plains." *Trans.* ASAE 38(3):745-759.

- Jensen, M.E., Burman, R.D. and Allen, R.G. (eds). (1990). "Evaporation and irrigation water requirements." ASCE Manuals and Reports on Eng. Practices No. 70. New York, NY: Am. Soc. of Civil Eng. 360 pp.
- Marek, T.H., Schneider, A.D., Howell, T.A., and Ebeling, L.L. (1988). "Design and construction of large weighing monolithic lysimeters." *Trans. ASAE* 31(2):477-484.
- Musick, J.T., Pringle, F.B., and Walker, J.D. (1988). "Sprinkler and furrow irrigation trends -Texas High Plains." *Appl. Engr. Agric*. 4(1):46-52.
- Taylor, H.M., Van Doren, C.E., Godfrey, C.L., and Coover, J.R. (1963). "Soils of the southwestern Great Plains field station." Misc. Publ.- MP-669, Texas Agric. Exp. Stat., College Station.
- Tolk, J.A., and Howell, T.A. (2001). "Measured and simulated evapotranspiration of grain sorghum grown with full and limited irrigation in three high plains soils." *Trans. ASAE* 44(6)1553-1558.
- Unger, P.W., and Pringle, F.B. (1981). "Pullman soil: Distribution, importance, variability, and management." Bull. 13 1372, Texas Agric. Exp. Stat., College Station.
- Wright, R.L. (1982). "New evapotranspiration crop coefficients." J. Irrig. and Drain Div. Am. Soc. Civil Engr:108(IR2):57-74.